

March 6, 2025

A – Addendum #2

RFP – Primary Building Feasibility Study

| QUESTION | ANSWER |
|--|--|
| Is it possible to have access to the full feasibility study without redactions? | No. The redacted information is high level costing that can be provided after award. |
| Which incentives review pathway is RMOW intending to pursue, CleanBC, BCH only (IEA pathway), BCH only (Feasibility pathway)? | This project will be covered by the CleanBC program. |
| Can RMOW please define the scope of the "on-site inspection of the Primary Building to review the current heating and ventilation system condition." Is a condition report required? It is not listed in the deliverables. | <p>The scope of the "on-site inspection of the Primary Building to review the current heating and ventilation system condition" is intended to allow the consultant to familiarize themselves with the existing systems, assess their current state, and identify any potential areas of concern that may inform the study.</p> <p>While a formal condition report is not specifically required as part of the deliverables, we do expect the consultant to document their observations and any significant findings related to the heating and ventilation systems. This information will help guide the study and ensure that all relevant factors are considered in the final analysis.</p> |
| Different incentive pathways require different levels of financial cost certainty, what is RMOWs expectation on cost certainty? | Class C estimate ($\pm 25-40\%$): An estimate prepared with limited site information and based on probable conditions affecting the project. It represents the summation of all identifiable project elemental costs and is used for program planning, to establish a more specific definition of client needs and to obtain preliminary project approval |
| Have you currently engaged your Key Account Manager or Regional Energy Manager with BCH? | Yes, the RMOW has met with our Account Manager who is aware of the project. |

End of Addendum